

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/930,102	ANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Habte Mered	2662	

**All Participants:**

(1) Habte Mered.

(2) Edmund P. Pfleger.

**Date of Interview:** 30 November 2005

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 3:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

15, 16, and 18-21

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) \_\_\_\_\_ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) \_\_\_\_\_

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner disclosed to Applicant upon further review that claims 15, 18, and 20 are anticipated by Dallabetta et al (US 6,693, 918). Applicant proposed to cancel claims 16, 19, and 21 and amend claims 15, 18 and 20. Examiner agreed the proposed changes will overcome Dallabetta. Applicant authorized the Examiner to make the proposed changes via an Examiner's Amendment.